

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/749,031	HOWLAND ET AL.
	Examiner Russell M. Kobert	Art Unit 2829

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Russell M. Kobert.

(3) _____

(2) Randy Notzen.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 06 September 2005.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: N/A.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' representative, Mr. Notzen, was contacted to determine the proper dependency of claim 12 since claim 12 as presented depends on canceled claim 11. Mr. Notzen indicated that claim 12 is a dependent claim from parent claim 7. Mr. Notzen granted permission to the Examiner to amend claim 12 by Examiner's Amendment to show claim 12 being dependent on claim 7.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required